

## QUESTIONS

### MI CLUBHOUSE BUILDING STRUCTURE

#### Paul Lees

Commented that the clubhouse shown on the brochure is not substantial and inviting enough. He felt the display of that clubhouse looked temporary and should have more thoughts in it. He proposed to have a two storey with more structural kind of building.

Suggested finding ways to raise money to make it look better. Paul Lees reminded that once the foundation of the building is grounded the future development structure will be difficult to tune on.

However, he agreed in principle with the MI project development.

#### Some suggestions noted from the members:

To offer some selections on the building design for members to bid.  
Feel what other members wanted and gather their feedback for consideration

#### Barry Hill

Explained that this is a prelim stage of the entire project with limited budget with the illustration plan.

#### David Tait

Comment that it is not difficult to get nice picture. However, the layout is to keep the original building because of budget reason.

DT further explained that the Development Committee needs to start with something. DT welcomed feedback from the floor and will try to work around with it in March.

DT reminded all that this committee is now focusing on the first phase and the future phases will then be able to follow through after the approval from the government.

### FUTURE OPERATION AND USAGE OF MI CLUBHOUSE

#### Charles Doyle

Comment that it is good for the committee member to think on how to further develop the Club especially for the extension for marine. However, he would like to remind that there will also be a cost factor for operating the future MI should there be also an FNB involved and that MI to be opened for 7 days. Charles also noted that the RHKYC MI is not bringing any revenue.

#### Catherine Trainer and Rhonda

Parties at MI could bring revenue to the Club.

Will there be as many people as hope to use the facilities at MI?

Concern on what would happen if the overhead attached to the development would go back to the members in order to support it?

#### Warren Humphrey

Agreed that power and water are needed.

Noted there is a necessary need to increase boats etc  
Marine and storages are needed as well  
But not too sure about the MI building as it could incur some operation cost.

#### **Rhonda**

Can the Club issue questionnaire like usage and see if it would be worth expanding it?

#### **Hart**

Summer opened 7 days a week. Do we have any statistics kept?

#### **Barry Hill**

The Club has gathered the data, financial in everywhere and the information is very comprehensive. The Club does have enough data to support the opening of 7 days at MI.

#### **David Tait**

The Development Committee will update members constantly regarding to the project.

#### **Barry Hill**

Development Committee will have to prepare a detailed program for at least the first two years and government has also got a time scale. The first two years will focus on having power and water and improving toilet facilities.

### **GOVERNMENT APPROVAL**

#### **Paul Lees**

RHKYC has substantial establishment at MI and presuming they are ok.  
Why can't us then?

BH will get more information.

#### **Ralph**

From a real estate agent and concern with what would happen if the government turned down the project proposal?

#### **John Berry**

Reclamation near RHKYC is much easier to apply for approval.

### **NOISE POLLUTION**

Noise pollution, how can MI avoid noise pollution?

### **CHILDREN FACILITIES ON LAND SALLONS**

Suggested including kids area on land, as MI has already got dinghies on the water.  
Make sure to have the right facilities in it.

## **FINANCIAL**

### **Rhonda**

Financial impact, what is the long term-financial plan that members have to pay.  
Love to see some statistics on MI.

### **Chris Pooley**

#### **Support the project**

Concern on a possibility of incurring more operation cost after the development.  
Two years as a vision and members are the investors. Chris asked to consider having small stages to go through as the Club cannot commit for a long term project which the project can also be slow down.

### **Barry Hill**

At this moment the Club has not lose any members. And new members welcome the idea of improving MI. There has also been a waiting list.

Gencom members have also considered what would be the best level to collect the building levy which ranges from \$100 to \$500 and they all felt that \$300 is a reasonable money.

## **FOLLOW THROUGH PROJECT AFTER TWO YEARS**

### **Wayne**

As an ex-commodore in the Club and as a driving force behind this. Committee took the obligation to start a project and the future committee needs to follow up.

### **Goh**

Noted that two-year is a mandate for proposal for voting. Follow through with the development.

Members are welcome to join the committee to continue with the project.

Around 1.5 years later, the development committee might come up with better ideas and a more concrete plan. Goh noted that this is only a vision to start the process of the project. Members do not have to be too nervous at this moment.

Suggest to consider design competition for MI

## **SEWAGE**

### **Catherine Trainer**

Asked the present location of power and water and the sewage plan.

### **David Tait**

Have the sewage plan on his agenda and will follow through

## **TRANSIT TO MI**

### **David Tait**

There will be a joint ferry service with the RHKYC that brings members to Deep water bay public peers.  
It will be a joint-club ferry service.

### **Tennant**

No public car park at Deep Water Bay

**David**

Empower committee to share and noted that the committees are all volunteers and professionals as well as busy people. Members should show respects to them.

But question on the functionality of the club house. Phase 1 – 3 sounds ok but not too sure about the building as it could involve with operational cost.

**Tristan Stewart**

Remind also the peace and tranquility of Middle Island.